UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,382	04/01/2004	Larry G. Ingraham	15998.0023	8271
30734 BAKER & HOS	7590 03/24/200 STETLER LLP	8	EXAMINER	
WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100			DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE	
1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/815,382	INGRAHAM, LARRY G.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cassandra Davis	3611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 E This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-18 and 20-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-18 and 20-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 2. Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nemzin et al., US 5223316.
- 3. Nemzin teaches a support frame for predetermined characters comprising a 3-D element 12 having a hanger tab 30 for permanently securing the support frame to a wall, a signage donor element 26 and 24. The support frame and the donor elements are made from the same resilient plastic material and are colors contrasting so that the characters can be easily distinguished from the associate holders.
- 4. The hanger tab 30 corresponds to "a mounting surface of said base element being configured to be securably mounted to an area where a wall meets a floor..." The hanger tab 30 provides a means to mount the support

Art Unit: 3611

frame to a wall wherein the support frame is adjacent the corner of a wall and floor. The applicant does not positively recite that the base element is secured to both the wall and the floor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. Claims 12-16 and 20, 21, 23, 24 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Studen U. S. Patent 3,280,499 in view of Nemzin et al., U. S. Patent 5,223,316.
- 3. Studen teaches a device comprising a three-dimensioned element defining a signage recipient base element (blank 2) and a plurality of signage donor element (portions 14-22), wherein the base element has a plurality of apertures 5-13. The base 2 and donor elements 14-22 are constructed of expanded polyethylene such that the donor element

Application/Control Number: 10/815,382

Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

frictionally fit with the apertures 5-13 of the base 2. The friction fit corresponds to the claimed snap-in fit. The donor element has a color different than the color of the base. See column 1, lines 48-55. Nemzin teaches a support frame for predetermined characters comprising a 3-D element 12 having a hanger tab 30 for adhering the support frame to a wall, a signage donor element 26 and 24. The support frame and the donor elements are made from the same resilient plastic material and are colors contrasting so that the characters can be easily distinguished from the associate holders. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the device taught by Studen with a support tab-type holder as taught by Nemzin to provide means to support the device upon a wall.

4. The hanger tab 30 corresponds to "a mounting surface of said base element being configured to be securably mounted to an area where a wall meets a floor..." The hanger tab 30 provides a means to mount the support frame to a wall wherein the support frame is adjacent the corner of a wall and floor. The applicant does not positively recite that the base element is secured to both the wall and the floor.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,382

Art Unit: 3611

5. With respect to claim 13, Studen teaches the donor element comprises a plurality of sub-parts (each indivisible punched portion 5-13 and the stencil-like portion or apertures comprises a plurality of separate sub-portions 14-22, with each sub-portion or aperture of the base element having inserted therein one of the donor element sub-parts.

Page 5

- 6. With respect to claim 14-16, Studen teaches the color of the donor elements is different than the base element.
- 7. With respect to claims 20, 21, 23, 34, since the specific indicia does not have an unobvious functional relationship with the signage donor element, it appears that any suitable indicia/indication/message would perform equally well in conveying a desired message.
- 8. Claims 17 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Studen in view of Nemzin as applied to claim 12 above and in view of Forbes et al., U. S. Patent 6,626,678. Forbes et al teaches a base 42, a frame 43 secured to the base and having a plurality of apertures and a plurality of tiles 44 adapted to fit within the apertures. Each tile has a layer of soft fabric with different tactility. Forbes also teaches that each tile is distinguishable by color or by the presence of indicia on the textured surfaces. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art

Application/Control Number: 10/815,382

Art Unit: 3611

at the time this invention was made to construct the device taught by Studen and Nemzin with each donor element further be distinguishable by tactility, color, or indicia to provide a means identify one donor or tile element from another.

Page 6

- 9. With respect to claims 29-31, since the applicant does not disclose that indicia with phosphorescent, glitter, or photo-luminescent solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose, it appears that constructing the indicia with any suitable material which contrast with the base or support surface would perform equally well in conveying a desired message.
- 10. Claim 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Studen U. S. Patent 3,280,499 in view of Nemzin et al. as applied to claim 12 above and in further view of Wright, U. S. Patent 2,535,619.
- 11. Wright teaches a toy comprising a base member 10 having a plurality of cutouts and a plurality of donor element or segments 11, wherein each segment has a different thickness than the base member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the device taught by Studen and Nemzin with

Art Unit: 3611

segment having different thicknesses than the base as taught by Wright to provide a means to enhance the aesthetic appearance of the device.

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed October 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 13. The applicant argues the prior art of record does not teach "a "base element defining an integral sign "wherein the base element "has a mounting surface configured to be securably mounted to the area where the wall meets the floor such that the area where the wall meets the floor is hidden behind a length of said base element when said base element is securably mounted to the area where the wall meets the floor"."
- 14. As stated above, the examiner contends the hanger tab 30 taught by Nemzin corresponds to "a mounting surface of said base element being configured to be securably mounted to an area where a wall meets a floor..." The hanger tab 30 provides a means to mount the support frame to a wall wherein the support frame is adjacent the corner of a wall and floor.

Art Unit: 3611

Since the applicant does not positively recite that the base element is secured to both the wall and the floor. The rejection is maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-6642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cassandra Davis/ Cassandra Davis/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

March 17, 2008